



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

1. District (ज़िला): FARIDABAD P.S. (थाना): SECTOR-31 Year (वर्ष): 2018
FARIDABAD
FIR No. (प्र.सू.रि. सं.): 0489 Date (दिनांक): 10/08/2018
15:00

S.No. (क्र.सं.)	Acts (अधिनियम)	Sections (धारा(एँ))
1	IPC 1860	120-B
2	IPC 1860	406
3	IPC 1860	420
4	THE HARYANA PROTECTION OF INTERERST OF DEPOSITORS IN FINANCIAL ESTABLISHMENT ACT, 2013 (HARYANA ACT NO. 32 OF 2014)	3

3. (a) Occurrence of offence (अपराध की घटना):

1 Day (दिन): Date from (दिनांक से): Date To (दिनांक तक):
Time Period (समय अवधि): Time From (समय से): Time To (समय तक):

(b) Information received at P.S. (थाना जहां सूचना प्राप्त हुई): Date (दिनांक): 10/08/2018 Time (समय): 15:00 hrs

(c) General Diary Reference (रोजनामचा संदर्भ): Entry No. (प्रविष्टि सं.): 022 Time (समय): 10/08/2018
17:54 hrs



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

4. Type of Information (सूचना का प्रकार): Written

5. Place of Occurrence

(घटनास्थल):

1. (a) Direction and distance from P.S. (थाना से दूरी और दिशा): WEST, 2 Km(s)

Beat No. (बीट सं.):

(b) Address (पता): SRS टावर मथुरा रोड फरीदाबाद,

(c) In case, outside the limit of this Police Station, then Name of P.S. (यदि थाना सीमा के बाहर है तो थाना का नाम):

District (State) (जिला (राज्य)):

6. Complainant / Informant (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता):

(a) Name (नाम): Savitri Dahiya

(b) Husband's Name (पति का नाम): Sh H.S. Dahiya

(c) Date/Year of Birth (जन्म तिथि / वर्ष): 1978 (d) Nationality (राष्ट्रीयता): INDIA

(e) UID No. (यूआईडी सं.):

(f) Passport No. (पासपोर्ट सं.):

Date of Issue (जारी करने की तिथि): Place of Issue (जारी करने का स्थान):

(g) Occupation (व्यवसाय):

(h) Address

(पता):

S.No. (क्र.सं.)	Address Type (पता का प्रकार)	Address (पता)
1	Present Address	D-22, South Extension-II,, New Delhi, NEW DELHI, DELHI, INDIA
2	Permanent Address	D-22, South Extension-II,, New Delhi, NEW DELHI, DELHI, INDIA



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

(i) Phone number (दूरभाष सं.): Mobile (मोबाइल सं.):

7. Details of known / suspected / unknown accused with full particulars (ज्ञात / संदिग्ध / अज्ञात अभियुक्त का पूरे विवरण सहित वर्णन):

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	Name (नाम)	Alias (उपनाम)	Relative's Name (रिश्तेदार का नाम)
1	SRS RETREAT SERVIES LIMITED		
2	ANIL JINDAL DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED		
3	BHAGWAT DAYAL DIRECTOR SRS		
4	NANK CHAND TAYAL DIRECTOR SRS		
5	DEVENDRA ADHANA DIRECTOR		
6	RAJESH SINGLA DIRECTOR		
7	BISAN BANSAL		Father's Name: Nanak Chand
8	NARESH GOYAL DIRECTOR		
9	VINOD JINDAL DIRECTOR		
10	GEETA SINGH DIRECTOR SRS		
11	LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED		



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

12	NARESH GOYAL DIRECTOR LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED		Father's Name: Shri Prem Chand
13	NEERAJ GUPTA		
14	AMIT GOYAL		
15	KARAN YADAV		
16	SANDEEP MITTAL		
17	SURESH KUMAR GUPTA		Father's Name: LATE SH SURAJ BHAN GUPTA
18	STANDARD PORTFOLIO DIRECTOR SURESH KUMAR GUPTA		
19	MADHU GUPTA		Husband's Name: SURESH KUMAR GUPTA
20	GAURAV GUPTA		Father's Name: SURESH KUMAR GUPTA

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant / informant (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता द्वारा रिपोर्ट देरी से दर्ज कराने के कारण):

9. Particulars of properties of interest (संबन्धित सम्पत्ति का विवरण):

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	Property Type (सम्पत्ति के प्रकार)	Sub Type (उप प्रकार)	Value(In Rs/-) (मूल्य (रु में))

10. Total value of property stolen (In Rs/-) (चोरी हुई सम्पत्ति का कुल मूल्य(रु में)):

11. Inquest Report / U.D. case No., if any (मृत्यु समीक्षा रिपोर्ट / यू.डी.प्रकरण सं., यदि कोई हो):



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	UIDB Number (यू.डी.प्रकरण सं.)
---------------------	--------------------------------

12. First Information contents (प्रथम सूचना तथ्य):

1. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE FARIDABAD POLICE FARIDABAD, HARYANA 2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, ECONOMIC OFFENCES WING FARIDABAD POLICE FARIDABAD, HARYANA SUBJECT: COMPLAINT FOR CHEATING, FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND CRIMINAL MISAPPROPRIATION AGAINST THE FOLLOWING UNDER VARIOUS SECTIONS OF INDIAN PENAL CODE AND HARYANA PROTECTION OF INTEREST OF DEPOSITORS (IN FINANCIAL ESTABLISHMENT) ACT, 2013. (I) SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED having its office at SRS Tower, 305 307, 3rd Floor, Near Metro Station Mewla Maharajpur, G.T. Road, Faridabad (NCR Delhi)-121003 (II) ANIL JINDAL, DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED, R/O House No. 538, Sector-14, Faridabad. (III) BHAGWAT DAYAL DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (IV) NANK CHAND TAYAL DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (V) DEVENDRA ADHANA DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (VI) RAJESH SINGLA DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (VII) BISAN BANSAL S/O Nanak Chand R/o House No. 122, Sector -9 Faridabad, DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (VIII) NARESH GOYAL DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (IX) VINOD JINDAL DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (X) GEETA SINGH DIRECTOR SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED (XI) LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED HAVING ITS OFFICE AT 1072/1167, THIRD FLOOR, KUCHA MAHAJANI, CHANDANI CHOWK, DELHI-110006 (XII) NARESH GOYAL S/o Shri Prem Chand R/o E-246, East Badarpur, Shahdra, Delhi-32 DIRECTOR LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED (XIII) NEERAJ GUPTA DIRECTOR LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED (XIV) AMIT GOYAL DIRECTOR LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED (XV) KARAN YADAV DIRECTOR LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED (XVI) SANDEEP MITTAL DIRECTOR LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED (XVII) SURESH KUMAR GUPTA S/O LATE SH SURAJ BHAN GUPTA R/O S-511, GREATER KAILSH-II, FIRST FLOOR, DELHI (XVIII) STANDARD PORTFOLIO PRIVATE LIMITED HAVING ITS OFFICE AT 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR SURESH KUMAR GUPTA (XIX) MADHU GUPTA W/O SURESH KUMAR GUPTA R/O S-511, GREATER KAILSH-II, FIRST FLOOR, DELHI (XX) GAURAV GUPTA S/O SURESH KUMAR GUPTA R/O S-511, GREATER KAILSH-II, FIRST FLOOR, DELHI Sir, 1. The Complainant Savitri Dahiya w/o Sh H.S. Dahiya R/o D 22, South Extension-II, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as "Complainant") is a citizen of India who believes in upholding the majesty of law. 2. SRS RETREAT SERVICES LIMITED represented through its directors who are involved in the day to day affairs of the company (hereinafter referred to as "Accused") is a Company incorporated under the Indian Companies Act, 1956 having its Office at SRS Tower, 305 307, 3rd Floor, Near Metro Station Mewla Maharajpur, G.T. Road, Faridabad (NCR Delhi)-121003, and is interalia engaged in the business of real estate development and construction. 3. LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED represented through its directors who are involved in the day to day affairs of the company (hereinafter



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

referred to as "Accused") is a Company incorporated under the Indian Companies Act, 1956 having its Office at 1072/1167, Third Floor, Kucha Mahajani, Chandani Chowk, DELHI-110006 and happens to be the group company of SRS group. 4. SURESH KUMAR GUPTA and STANDARD PORTFOLIO PRIVATE LIMITED represented through its directors who are involved in the day to day affairs of the company is involved in the business of the real estate development, construction and dealing. The abovementioned accused persons by misrepresenting and by giving false hopes have defrauded the complainant with her hard earned money. The Accused persons had a malafide intention since the beginning and have cheated the complainant with her hard earned money. 5. In August, 2015 the Accused namely SURESH KUMAR GUPTA approached the complainant with a lucrative proposal of heavy discount in a project at Ballabgarh and the complainant will earn huge profit if the money is invested in the project at Ballabgarh, Faridabad. The complainant along with her husband and the accused Suresh Kumar Gupta visited the area of Ballabgarh but looking at the surroundings it was understood that the likelihood of earning huge profit is less and therefore refused to invest. The Accused with a predetermined mind to cheat the complainant immediately came up with another proposal in order to ensure that the complainant one way or the other invest with the Accused with the intention to dupe the complainant. 6. The Accused persons with the intention to cheat came up with another proposal that through a company a project has been launched at 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI and claimed it to be located on main road, with facility of maintenance just like international-standards and which is on the verge of completion as the structure of the building is almost complete and furnishing is only pending for which the Accused persons are in the dire need of investment. 7. The Complainant initially did not get interested in said project but on the repeated representations of the Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta whereby it was made to understand that the investment in the project situated at 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI is profitable. As the complainant still did not get interested, the Accused declared that the project at 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI is in the name of a company Standard Portfolio Private Limited and wife and son of Suresh Kumar Gupta are also the directors in the said company. 8. Smt Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta along with Suresh Kumar Gupta collectively approached the complainant and presented to the complainant that the proposal of the investment in 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI is very profitable and the said three Accused shall be personally liable in case the investment made by the complainant does not generate profit. The said Accused were known to the complainant and were related to the complainant the Complainants agreed to invest with the Accused in the plot 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI. Furthermore it was represented by the accused Suresh Kumar Gupta that the payment should be made in favour of LUCRA JEWELS PRIVATE LIMITED, which is also the group company. The wife of Suresh Kumar Gupta, Ms. Madhu Gupta and the son Gaurav Gupta further represented that in case there is default in the repayment of the amount invested by the complainant the ownership of the plot 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI shall be transferred in the name of the Complainant as per her investments. The Accused made false representations with the intention since the beginning which was to defraud the Complainant. 9. Believing the assurances and promises made by Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta, the Complainant was convinced that in case the investments is made with the said accused persons the same is secure and the said investment will be profitable and secured. It was further promised that a return of 2.5% shall be



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT **(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)**

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

provided on the investments made by the complainant. The complainant inspected the plot 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI where the construction work was ongoing and believing the representation to be true the complainant agreed to invest in plot 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI. 10. The complainant being allured by accused person's false representation agreed to invest in 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI, and accordingly complainant made payment vide cheque no. 350404 dated 21.09.2015 for an amount of Rs. 3,35,00,000/- from account no. 55148882076 of State Bank of India and vide cheque no. 001368 dated 21.09.2015 for an amount of RS. 10,00,000/- from account no. 23768730000027 of HDFC Bank in the name of Esha Turbo Mptors Private Limited. The Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta failed to keep up their promises and defaulted in making the payment as agreed. The Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta approached the complainant and assured that the agreed amount will be paid back once the building is ready. 11. The complainant made the payment as the construction work at the plot was ongoing and the complainants were secured that their investments are safe. The complainant reposed faith in Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta but the said Accused persons since the beginning had malafide intention which was to cheat the complainant of her hard earned money as the Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta have been misrepresenting and making false promises with the intention to dupe the complainant. 12. The complainant waited for completion of plot 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI and once the completion was done the complainant requested the Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta to return the investment along with profit/interest as agreed. The Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta started avoiding the complainant for one reason or the other. The complainant and her husband after regular follow up with the Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta got a meeting arranged. The Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta suddenly changed their stand and informed the complainant and her husband that the plot 26A, LAJPAT NAGAR-IV, NEW DELHI has been taken over by some other third party but the complainant need not to worry as their investment is secure and safe. 13. The complainant and her husband was shocked with regard to the stand taken by the Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta. The Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta with malafide intent again presented to the Complainant that in case the investment of the complainant is shifted to "Emerald Court" of SRS group it will yield better profits. The complainant refused and asked the Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta to return the investment. The Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta refused to return the investment of the complainant and forced the complainant and her husband to agree to the terms. The Accused persons misusing their dominant position directed the complainant and her husband, left with no other option as directed by the Accused Suresh Kumar Gupta, Madhu Gupta and Gaurav Gupta the complainant went with Accused to Faridabad to SRS Tower. 14. The accused Suresh Kumar Gupta and along with the other directors of the Accused Companies and the above mentioned Accused Persons represented that they have the permission from the competent authority to receive the booking in their project namely "Emerald Court" and they have the ownership and legal title in the project and has got all approval and sanctions from the competent authorities. The accused persons further represented to the complainant that their investment will be profitable and is a better proposal. In a preplanned manner the Accused persons with



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

the intent to defraud the complainant made him believe that the proposal is profitable when in reality it was continuation of cheating the Complainant. All Accused persons were hand in gloves since the beginning and with the intention to make wrongful gain had been misrepresenting to the Complainant. 15. Furthermore in order to make the complainant agree to the illegal terms, it was presented by the Accused persons that the price in the project "Emerald Court" is in the range of 7000-8000/- per sq ft and the Accused persons are ready to offer discount of more than 25% in order to make the deal lucrative. The Accused persons were having a malafide intention and were trying to present a very classy picture of the project but in reality the Accused persons made the complainant make the payment on the basis of false assurances and with the intention to grab the hard earned money of the complainant. The abovementioned Accused persons in connivance had cheated the Complainant by misrepresenting the facts and making false promises and assurances. The Accused persons intention since the very beginning was malafide which was to grab the hard earned money of the Complainant. 16. The Complainant entered into an agreement dated 06.08.2016 whereby the Accused persons acknowledged the receipt of an amount of RS. 3, 45, 00,000/- (Rs. Three Crore Forty Five Lacs only) and allotted the area of 6763.73 sq feet of Unit NO. 655 to 658 situated on the Sixth Floor of Tower B, in "Emerald Court" located at Main Mathura Road, Near Good Year Metro Station, Sector-5, Faridabad. Further agreed that return @ 12% per annum shall be paid on the advance amount and accordingly agreed to pay an amount of Rs. 44,29,800/- per annum for which the cheques were issued by the Accused persons. 17. The Complainant entered into another agreement dated 06.08.2016 whereby the Accused persons acknowledged the receipt of an amount of RS. 6,80,00,000/- (Rs. Six Crore Eighty Lacs only) and allotted the area of 14416.18 sq feet of Unit No. 643 to 654 situated on the Sixth Floor of Tower B, in "Emerald Court" located at Main Mathura Road, Near Good Year Metro Station, Sector-5, Faridabad. Further agreed that return @ 12% per annum shall be paid on the advance amount and accordingly agreed to pay an amount of Rs. 87,31,000/- per annum for which the cheques were issued by the Accused persons. 18. It was specifically undertaken by the Accused persons that the cheques mentioned for the returns shall be honored on their presentation but the Accused persons defaulted on the said promises also. The complainant has not received any return on the investments and the Accused persons very cleverly with the malafide intention to defraud and dupe the complainant come up with a new story which is another step to defraud the complainant by making false promises with malafide intention by the Accused persons to make wrongful gain. 19. The Accused Persons in a calculated manner have defrauded the Complainant and the acts are detrimental to the interest of the complainant as the total money received by the Accused persons have been siphoned off by using the group companies. From the acts of the Accused persons it is clear that with the malafide intention since the beginning which was to defraud the Complainant. 20. The accused persons had no intention to pay back the complainant investments and were lingering on the matter on one pretext or the other. Various investments and accumulated assured return became due for payment. The spaces allotted to the complainant may have been mortgaged with other investors/bank, which will further jeopardize the interests of the complainant and the other investor. The Complainant has invested almost her entire lifetime earnings and savings with the accused persons in form of investments. This fact clearly establishes that the accused persons had a dishonest intention since the beginning and hence started making lame excuses. 21. Moreover, after having received full consideration against the allotted space



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

from us, the accused persons are guilty of committing fraud by mortgaging the property with bank, particularly as per agreed terms the accused persons are bound to keep the property free from all encumbrances so that the property can be transferred to the complainant. 22. These accused persons are running a huge property racket and are a big land mafia. There are many people like the complainant who have been cheated in this fashion. The accused persons are cheating the investors with impunity. I have also come to know that these people have been allotting the same commercial space to more than one person against investments made by them. The complainant have serious apprehensions that the Accused persons have also created the false and fabricated allotment letters which are valuable securities, in respect of the properties/commercial space(s) provisionally allotted to us and thereby have committed forgery. 23. These accused acting in connivance with each other have cheated the complainant of a huge sum of money and the Accused persons are guilty not only of cheating us but also of criminal breach of trust and forgery/falsification of valuable security which are punishable u/s 420, 421, 423, 406, 467, 471 r/w section 34 and 120B of Indian Penal Code and for organized crime which was to cheat the public at large. The aforesaid accused persons are liable to be prosecuted and punished. 24. The Accused used its dominant position and overpowered the complainant, the complainant left with no other option had to listen to the Accused persons which since the beginning have been making false statements and misrepresenting the facts with the sole intention to cheat the complaint of her hard earned money. The Accused persons in a preplanned manner have approached the Complainant with the sole intention to cheat and to grab the money and never to return the same back to the Complainant. 25. It was only on assurances and representations of the Accused that the complainant parted with her hard earned money. However, all representations and assurances given by the accused turned out to be false and incorrect. The promises and assurances proved to be dishonest and deceitful and the same were made only with malafide intent to cheat. The Accused clearly acted in criminal breach of trust to cause a wrongful loss to the Complainant and a wrongful gain to themselves. The Accused wrongfully and dishonestly misappropriated in conspiracy with each other and have defrauded the complainant of her hard earned money. 26. I request you to kindly register my complaint and investigate the matter so that justice can be imparted to me and my son and the accused persons may be brought to justice. Yours sincerely, Sd:- सावित्री Savitri Dahiya W/o Sh H.S. Dahiya R/O D-22, South Extension-II, New Delhi Mob. No: 9560996390 अज थाना :- एक परिवाद नम्बर 812/ पेशी दिनांक 01.06.2018 मय अन्य संलग्न परिवाद नम्बर 4668/सी.सी.पी. दिनांक 05.06.2018 बाद जांच EOW सैल बल्लबगढ जोन फरीदाबाद द्वारा बाद राय ADA साहब जिस पर ADA साहब ने U/S 420,406,120B IPC 3 of the Haryana protection of interest of depositors in F.E Act-2013 तहरीर की हुई है वा DCP HQ साहब ने Register the Case तहरीर किया हुआ बजरिया डाक मुकदमा नम्बर - 489 दिनांक - 10.08.2018 U/s 420, 406,120B IPC 3 of the Haryana protection of interest of depositors in F.E Act-2013 थाना सैक्टर - 31 फरीदाबाद में दर्ज रजिस्टर किया जाकर मुकदमा हजा की कम्प्युटराईज प्रतिया नियमानुसार तैयार करके सम्बन्धित अफसरान बाला वा इलाका मजिस्ट्रेट की



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

सेवा मे भेजी जाएगी । नकल मिशल पुलिस मय असल परिवाद आगामी तफतीश हेतु निज्द प्रभारी आर्थिक अपराध प्रकोष्ठ सैक्टर-12, फरीदाबाद बजरिया डाक भेजी जाएगी । जो प्रभारी आर्थिक अपराध प्रकोष्ठ सैक्टर-12, फरीदाबाद की CCTNS मे ID ना होने के कारण मुकदमा हजा की कायमी ASI जयपाल सिंह दर्शाया गया है वा ASI जयपाल सिंह हाजरी मे ही दर्ज किया गया है । ले0 Hc

13. Action taken: Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at Item No. 2.

(की गयी कार्यवाही : चूंकि उपरोक्त जानकारी से पता चलता है कि अपराध करने का तरीका मद सं. 2 में उल्लेख धारा के तहत है.):

- (1) Registered the case and took up the investigation (प्रकरण दर्ज किया गया और जांच के लिए लिया गया): or (या)
- (2) Directed (Name of I.O.) (जांच अधिकारी का नाम): Rank (पद): Asst. SI (Assistant Sub-Inspector)
Jaipal
No. (सं.): 244 to take up the Investigation (को जांच अपने पास में लेने के लिए निर्देश दिया गया) or (या)
- (3) Refused investigation due to (जांच के लिए): or (के कारण इंकार किया या)
- (4) Transferred to P.S. (थाना): District (ज़िला):
on point of jurisdiction (को क्षेत्राधिकार के कारण हस्तांतरित).



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

F.I.R. read over to the complainant / informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant / informant, free of cost. (शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता को प्राथमिकी पढ़ कर सुनाई गयी, सही दर्ज हुई माना और एक कॉपी निशुल्क शिकायतकर्ता को दी गयी)

R.O.A.C. (आर.ओ.ए.सी.)

14. Signature / Thumb impression of the complainant /

informant (शिकायतकर्ता /

सूचनाकर्ता के हस्ताक्षर / अंगूठे

का निशान)

Signature of Officer in charge, Police Station (थाना प्रभारी के हस्ताक्षर)

Name (नाम): Amit Kumar

Rank (पद): I (Inspector)

No. (सं.): PINSP

15. Date and time of dispatch to the court (अदालत में प्रेषण की दिनांक और समय):

Attachment to item 7 of First Information Report (प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट के मद 7 संलग्नक):

Physical features, deformities and other details of the suspect/accused: (If known / seen)

(संदिग्ध / अभियुक्त की शारीरिक विशेषताएँ, विकृतियाँ और अन्य विवरण: (यदि ज्ञात / देखा गया))

S. No. (क्र.सं.)	Sex (लिंग)	Date / Year Of Birth (जन्म तिथि / वर्ष)	Build (बनावट)	Height (cms) (कद (से.मी.))	Complexion (रंग)	Identification Mark(s) (पहचान चिन्ह)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
2	Male	1973				Is Proxitted: Yes
3	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
4	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

5	Male	1981				Is Proxitted: Yes
6	Male	1973				Is Proxitted: Yes
7	Male	1968				Is Proxitted: Yes
8	Male	1973				Is Proxitted: Yes
9	Male	1968				Is Proxitted: Yes
10	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
11	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
12	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
13	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
14	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
15	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
16	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
17	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
18	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes
19	Female	1973				Is Proxitted: Yes
20	Male	1978				Is Proxitted: Yes



HARYANA POLICE CITIZEN SERVICES (हरियाणा पुलिस नागरिक सेवा)

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

(Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.)

प्रथम सूचना रिपोर्ट

(धारा 154 दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता के तहत)

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

These fields will be entered only if complainant/informant gives any one or more particulars about the suspect/accused.

(यह क्षेत्र तभी दर्ज किए जाएंगे यदि शिकायतकर्ता / सूचनाकर्ता संदिग्ध / अभियुक्त के बारे में कोई एक या उससे अधिक जानकारी देता है)